

On page 14454, second column, **DATES:** The closing date for receipt of applications was left out inadvertently. This should read: **DATES:** Applications for grant awards will be accepted commencing March 17, 1995. The closing date for receipt of applications is May 1, 1995, 2 p.m., (Eastern Time) at the address below.

Dated: March 21, 1995.

Janice E. Perry

Grant Officer, Division of Acquisition and Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95-7486 Filed 3-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice 95-025]

NASA Advisory Council (NAC), Aeronautics Advisory Committee, Subcommittee on Human Factors; Meeting

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

ACTION: Notice of meeting cancellation.

FEDERAL REGISTER CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: 60 FR 9700, Notice Number 95-021, February 21, 1995.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED DATES OF MEETING: March 29, 1995, March 30, 1995, and March 31, 1995. Meeting has been canceled.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Gregory W. Condon, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA 94035, 415/604-5567.

Dated: March 20, 1995.

Timothy M. Sullivan,

Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-7454 Filed 3-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Documents Containing Reporting or Recordkeeping Requirements: Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Review

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of the OMB review of information and collection.

SUMMARY: The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

1. Type of submission: Revision.
2. The title of the information collection: 10 CFR part 34. "Licenses for Radiography and Radiation Safety requirements for Radiographic Operations" and NRC Form 313, Application for Material License.

3. The form number, if applicable: NRC Form 313.

4. How often the collection is required. On occasion, such as upon submittal of an application for a materials license or renewal, or upon discovery of a leaking source.

5. Who will be required to report: Licensees and applicants requesting approvals in accordance with 10 CFR part 34.

6. An estimate of the number of responses: Part 34—700, NRC Form 313—700.

7. An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: Part 34—1,490 hours for reporting (approximately 2 hours per response) plus an additional 58,835 hours for recordkeeping (approximately 84 hours per licensee); NRC Form 313—9,100 hours for 700 licensees (approximately 13 hours per response). The total burden is 69,425 hours.

8. An indication of whether Section 3504(h), Pub. L. 96-511 applies: Applicable.

9. Abstract: NRC regulation, 10 CFR part 34, specifies the information and data to be provided by applicants and licensees using byproduct material for industrial radiography. The 10 CFR part 34 is being revised in its entirety. The revision will add to or modify the requirements to include additional training of radiographers' assistants, leak tests of "S" tubes, and specifies records to be kept at various locations. The revision will require the following additional information to be reported on NRC Form 313, Application for Materials.

License: Locations and descriptions of all field stations and permanent radiographic installations, designation of a Radiation Safety Officer, and additional information on training and testing. This information is reviewed by NRC to ensure that the safety of radiographers and the public is protected.

Copies of the submittal may be inspected or obtained for a fee from the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street NW (Lower Level), Washington, DC 20037.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer: Troy Hillier, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, (3150-0007 and 3150-0120), NEOB-10202, Office of

Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. Comments can also be submitted by telephone (202) 395-3084. The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo Shelton. (301) 415-7230.

Dated at Rockville, Md, this 16th day of March 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Gerald F. Cranford,

Designated Senior Official for Information Resources Management.

[FR Doc. 95-7433 Filed 3-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

Documents Containing Reporting or Recordkeeping Requirements: Office of Management and Budget Review

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of the OMB review of information collection.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for collection of information under the provision of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

1. Type of submission, new, revision, or extension: Revision.

2. The title of the information collection: "Proposed Rule, 10 CFR part 2: Petition for Rulemaking; Procedure for Submission."

3. The form number if applicable: Not Applicable.

4. How often is the collection required: On occasion.

5. Who will be required or asked to report: Persons who choose to submit more detailed supporting information in the petition than required in the current 10 CFR 2.802(c).

6. An estimate of the number of annual responses: Five.

7. An estimate of the number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: 2,500 (an average of 500 hours per response).

8. An indication of whether section 3504(h), Pub. L. 96-511 applies: Applicable.

9. Abstract: The proposed amendment to the regulations pertaining to petition for rulemaking would provide incentive to submit sufficient supporting information in petitions to facilitate more expeditious disposition by the NRC, and would also improve openness of the petition for rulemaking process by delineating priorities for review of the petitions.

Copies of the submittal may be inspected or obtained for a fee from the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L

Street, NW (Lower Level), Washington, DC 20555-0001.

Comments and questions can be directed by mail to the OMB reviewer: Troy Hillier, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150-0136), NEOB-10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395-3084. The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, (301) 415-7233.

Dated at Rockville, Md., this 21st day of March, 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Gerald F. Cranford,

Designated Senior Official for Information Resources Management.

[FR Doc. 95-7434 Filed 3-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket Nos. 50-254 and 50-265]

Commonwealth Edison Co. and Iowa-Illinois Gas and Electric Co., Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-29 and DPR-30, issued to Commonwealth Edison Company (ComEd, the licensee) for operation and Iowa-Illinois Gas and Electric Company (IIGEC) for possession of the Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2, located in Rock Island County, Illinois.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would revise the operating license to reflect the transfer of IIGEC's 25 percent ownership in Quad Cities, Units 1 and 2, through the merger of IIGEC, MidAmerican Energy Company (MidAmerican), Midwest Power Systems Inc. and Midwest Resources Inc., with MidAmerican as the surviving entity from the merger. Quad Cities is operated by ComEd on behalf of IIGEC. Commonwealth Edison Company, alone, is licensed to operate Quad Cities, Units 1 and 2. The proposed action is in accordance with ComEd's application dated February 23, 1995.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is required to reflect the ownership change discussed above. The amendment reflecting the transfer of IIGEC's interest in the license will have minimal impact on the operation of the facility by ComEd. The transfer and amendment will not affect

the facility's Technical Specifications, license conditions, or the organization and practices of ComEd.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed license amendment and concludes that there will be no changes to Quad Cities, Units 1 and 2, or the environment as a result of this action. The transfer of IIGEC's possession-only interest in the license and the associated license amendment will not affect the numbers, qualifications, or organizational affiliation of the personnel who operate the facility, as ComEd will remain the holder of the operating license and continue to be responsible for the operation of Quad Cities, Units 1 and 2.

The Commission has evaluated the environmental impact of the proposed action and has determined that the probability or consequences of accidents would not be increased by the transfer of ownership, and that post-accident radiological releases would not be greater than previously determined. Further, the Commission has determined that the transfer of ownership would not affect routine radiological plant effluents and would not increase occupational radiological exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the transfer of ownership would not affect nonradiological plant effluents and would have no other environmental impact. Therefore, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternative to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded that the environmental effects of the proposed action are not significant, any alternative with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. The principal alternative would be to deny the requested approval. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are identical.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement related to operation of Quad Cities

Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2, dated September 1972.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

The NRC staff reviewed the licensee's request and consulted with the Illinois State official regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed license amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see the licensee's submittal dated February 23, 1995, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Dixon Public Library, 221 Hennepin Avenue, Dixon, Illinois.

Dated at Rockville, Md., this 21st day of March 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Robert A. Capra,

Director, Project Directorate III-2, Division of Reactor Projects—III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95-7435 Filed 3-24-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

Proposed Generic Letter; Pressure Locking and Thermal Binding of Safety-Related Power-Operated Gate Valves

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of issuance.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is proposing to issue a generic letter regarding pressure locking and thermal binding of safety-related power-operated gate valves. This proposed generic letter is intended to ensure that addressees have performed or will perform evaluations, and as appropriate, analyses and/or corrective actions to ensure that safety-related power-operated gate valves that may be susceptible to pressure locking or thermal binding are capable of performing their required safety functions. The NRC is seeking comment from interested parties regarding both the technical and regulatory aspects of